arXiv:quant-ph/0208011v1 2 Aug 2002

An interpretation of the black-body radiation

Shun-ichiro Koh

Physics Division, Faculty of Education, Kochi University

Akebono-cho, 2-5-1, Kochi, 780, Japan *

Abstract

The black-body radiation is reinterpreted in terms of the photon's many-body wave functions in analogy with the condensed matter physics. This interpretation has implications on the wave-particle duality, and on the difference between the photon and the matter wave.

PACS codes: 03.65.Ta, 05.30.Jp, 42.50.Ar

Keywords: wave-particle duality, black-body radiation, Planck distribution, photon

*e-mail address: koh@cc.kochi-u.ac.jp

The black-body radiation, a photon gas coupled with the matter in the thermal equilibrium, was historically a starting point for the birth of the quantum physics. The low-frequency region of the Planck distribution at high temperature agrees with the result by the classical electromagnetism, and its high-frequency region at low temperature represents the particle nature of the light (the photon), which characterizes the black-body radiation as a phenomenon representing the wave-particle duality [1]. The wave-particle duality is now a conceptual basis of the quantum physics, but it continues to be a problematic idea which is beyond our imagination. As a result, the light is often explained in such a way that it changes its nature according to the apparatus it is interacting with: The light is a wave when passing through a pair of slits, but it is a stream of photons when it strikes a detector.

Normally the Planck distribution is derived on the picture of the independent particles obeying the Bose statistics. The statistical mechanics of the black-body radiation is sometimes regarded as a subject which has been already understood. This paper points out that, when the Planck distribution is reinterpreted using the concept of *the many-body wave function* (in analogy with the condensed matter physics), there still exists some points to be considered. The typical Bose particle in the condensed matter physics is the helium-4. In the study of the helium-4, Feynman proposed an interesting method of introducing the Bose statistics which emphasized the role of the many-body wave function [2]. His method gave us the same result as the conventional one for the helium. But when it is applied to the photon, it

discloses the significant nature of photon which has not been stressed until now. This paper applies his method to the photon, and discusses its implication.

Consider N photons having a following partition function in a box,

$$Z = \sum_p < p_1, \ldots p_N | \exp(-\beta H) | p_1, \ldots p_N > . \tag{1}$$

To grasp the deep meaning of the quantization, it is useful to begin with the classical picture, and to focus on where the quantum feature is introduced. If the photon is treated as if it is a massless classical particle with an energy $\hbar cp$, the partition function for a single photon has such a form as,

$$Z_1 = \sum_p < p | \exp(-\beta \hbar cp) | p > . \tag{2}$$

The quantum nature of the photon appears in the N-photon system obeying the Bose statistics. The permutation symmetry is imposed, but its appearance is affected by the thermal disturbance. We assume that, among the N photons, s photons having the same momentum and polarization form a coherent many-body wave function, in which the permutation symmetry is satisfied in such a way that an interchanging of the s photons leaves the wave function unaltered.

Here, the phrase "wave function consisting of s photons" must be used carefully. The wave function gives information about the possibility of one photon in a particular place and not the probable number of photons in that place. (As Dirac stated, each photon interferes only with itself [3].) Hence, each photon has its own wave function spreading out in the coordinate space, and in some cases it reaches to the

macroscopic distance. Here, the number s does not reflect the spatial size of the many-body wave function, but it reflects the number of photons connected by the Bose statistics. (In this paper, we call the many-body wave function consisting of many photons a macroscopic wave function with this meaning.)

From now, we show that the photon's many-body wave function gives us a natural interpretation of the black-body radiation. In view of $\exp(-\beta\hbar cp)$ in Eq.(2), the many-body wave function consisting of s photons with the same momentum will contain $\exp(-\beta\hbar cps)$ in Z (Eq.(1)). The integral of $\exp(-\beta\hbar cps)$ over the length and orientation of p such as,

$$f_s = 2\int_0^\infty \exp(-\beta\hbar cps)4\pi p^2\frac{dp}{(2\pi)^3} = \frac{2}{\pi^2}\left(\frac{k_BT}{\hbar c}\right)^3\frac{1}{s^3}, \tag{3}$$

is a quantity representing the many-body wave function consisting of s photons with the same momentum and polarization, which will serve as an element for interpreting Z in terms of the many-body wave function. (A factor 2 in front of the integral comes from the photon's helicity states.)

The partition function derived from the Planck distribution has a following form,

$$Z = \exp\left(\frac{(k_BT)^3}{3\pi^2(\hbar c)^3}\int_0^\infty \frac{p^3dp}{e^p-1}\right). \tag{4}$$

Using the Riemann zeta function,

$$\zeta(r) = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{r-1}dx}{e^x-1} = \Gamma(r)\sum_{s=1}\frac{1}{s^r}, \tag{5}$$

Z has a following form,

$$Z = \exp\left[\frac{2}{\pi^2}\left(\frac{k_B T}{\hbar c}\right)^3 \sum_{s=1} \frac{1}{s^4}\right]. \tag{6}$$

Expanding the exponential function and using the explicit form of f_s (Eq.(3)), one obtains,

$$Z = \prod_{s=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\xi_s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\xi_s!}\left(\frac{f_s}{s}\right)^{\xi_s}. \tag{7}$$

With a slight modification such as,

$$Z = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\{\xi_s\}} \left(\frac{N!}{\prod_{s=1} \xi_s! s^{\xi_s}}\right) f_1^{\xi_1} f_2^{\xi_2} \cdots f_s^{\xi_s} \cdots, \tag{8}$$

this expression allows a following combinatorial interpretation: In the black-body radiation consisting of N photons, each photon belongs to one of the many-body wave function f_s consisting of s photons. These wave functions appear ξ_s times in the black-body radiation, a distribution of which is $\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s, \ldots\}$ being subject to $\Sigma_s s\xi_s = N$. Since the s photons are indistinguishable, the bracket in the right-hand side of Eq.(8) agrees with the number of ways of rearranging N photons into the wave functions having the distribution $\{\xi_s\}$.

The physical meaning of this wave function is as follows. In the small momentum at high temperature, the Planck distribution agrees with the energy spectrum obtained when we regard the black-body radiation as the classical electromagnetic wave. In view of Eq.(3), it is the very same small p and small β in the exponent that determines f_s for a large s in the integrand. This suggests that the f_s for the large s is related to the object of the classical physics, or more precisely, the expectation

value of the field operator in the many-body wave function, which consists of many photons having the same momentum and polarization, corresponds to the classical electromagnetic wave. When extrapolating this view to the small s, we are led to an image of the black-body radiation as *a random assembly of the small packets of the electromagnetic wave, the photon number of which is distributed proportionally to* $1/s^3$ (Eq.(3)). At a smallest limit of the packet, we find a photon there. Conversely, in the course of a scaling up process ($s \to \infty$), the wave function loses its quantum nature, making its expectation value the classical wave.

To understand the photon, it is useful to compare it with the matter wave having an energy $p^2/2m$. Using this energy in Eq.(3), one obtains,

$$f'_s = \int_0^\infty \exp(-\frac{\beta p^2 s}{2m}) 4\pi p^2 \frac{dp}{(2\pi)^3} = \left(\frac{m k_B T}{2\pi}\right)^{1.5} \frac{1}{s^{1.5}}, \tag{9}$$

Feynman showed that a substitution of f'_s to Eq.(7) reproduces the grand partition function of the Bose distribution [2][4]. In view of Eq.(3) and (9), one find that f_s is a more rapidly decreasing function of s than f'_s, meaning that as $s \to \infty$ the contribution of the electromagnetic wave consisting of many photons falls more rapidly than that of the matter wave consisting of the same number of particles.

At first sight, this result seems to be contradictory to our naive expectation that f_s is larger than f'_s for a large s, because the classical electromagnetic wave propagates macroscopically. But it has a following obvious reason. Generally, in the energy spectrum of the system, a component which mainly determines the statistical properties of the system is the small-momentum and low-frequency one.

In the Boltzmann factor of Eq.(3) and (9), $\hbar cp$ is larger than $p^2/2m$ for a small p. Hence, the electromagnetic wave consisting of s photons gives a smaller contribution $\exp(-\beta\hbar cps)$ to Z than the matter wave consisting of s particles gives $\exp(-\beta p^2 s/2m)$ to Z. This means that, *compared with the matter wave, the macroscopic coherence of the classical electromagnetic wave, which is normally observed in the non-equilibrium situation, is drastically suppressed in the thermal equilibrium.* (We must note that s in f_s and f'_s does not correspond to the quantity directly observed in the experiments such as the wave packet's length in the coordinate space [5]. Rather, f_s and f'_s are manifestations of the both waves in the statistical-mechanical formalism.)

The wave-particle duality appears in the fluctuation as well. As is well known, using the Planck distribution in $< \Delta E^2 >= \partial^2 log Z/\partial\beta^2$, the square of the relative fluctuation of the black-body radiation energy in a given frequency interval $\Delta\nu$ is obtained as follows,

$$\frac{< \Delta E^2 >}{< E >^2} = \frac{h\nu}{< E >} + \frac{1}{\rho(\nu)\Delta\nu}. \tag{10}$$

where $\rho(\nu)$ is a density of oscillations between ν and $\nu + \Delta\nu$ [6]. The first term in the right-hand side shows that, as the mean energy increases, the relative fluctuation decreases, suggesting an accumulation of the many independent and small fluctuations such as a creation and an annihilation of the photon. The second term does not depend on the mean energy, suggesting the fluctuation of the wave energy. In the random assembly of the waves, the accidental superposition of two waves with

slightly different frequencies (beats) gives rise to such a fluctuation.

From a viewpoint of the photon's many-body wave function, this wave-particle duality in the fluctuation can be interpreted as follows. Using Eq.(3) and (8) in $< \Delta E^2 >= \partial^2 log Z/\partial\beta^2$, one obtains,

$$< \Delta E^2 >= \frac{3(k_B T)^2}{Z} \sum_i \left[\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\{\xi_s\}} \left(\frac{N!}{\prod_s s^{\xi_s}} \right) \frac{f_1^{\xi_1}}{\xi_1!} \cdots \frac{f_i^{\xi_i}}{(\xi_i - 1)!} \cdots \frac{f_s^{\xi_s}}{\xi_s!} \cdots \right]. \qquad (11)$$

In $< \Delta E^2 >$, a wave function consisting of i photons appears ξ_i times as frequently as others. The fluctuation of the black-body radiation is a sum of independent fluctuations caused by an appearance or a disappearance of the many-body wave functions, whose constituents range from a single photon to a macroscopic number of photons. When the fluctuation is caused by the wave function with a large s, many photons having the same momentum and polarization participate in it at once, thus being observed as a fluctuation of the wave. On the contrary, when the fluctuation is caused by the wave function with small s, it is observed as a particle-number fluctuation. In view of Eq.(11), in addition to the both extreme limits (wave or particle fluctuation), other fluctuations having intermediate scales exist as well.

In the statistical optics, the wave-particle duality is discussed in terms of the correlation tensor including the two-points correlation function of the electric field or the magnetic field such as,

$$< E_i^-(r_1, t_1) E_j^+(r_2, t_2) >, < B_i^-(r_1, t_1) B_j^+(r_2, t_2) > . \qquad (12)$$

The correlation tensor of the black-body radiation was calculated by several authors,

which shows that the range of its field correlation in the coordinate space is of order $\hbar c/k_BT$ [7]. This means that the coherence volume is of order $(\hbar c/k_BT)^3$. In the Planck distribution, the average density of the photons has a value such as,

$$\frac{2}{\pi^2}\left(\frac{k_BT}{\hbar c}\right)^3\zeta(3), \tag{13}$$

meaning that the average number of the photons in the coherence volume $(\hbar c/k_BT)^3$ is of order unity $2\zeta(3)/\pi^2 \cong 1$,[8]. This single photon in the coherence volume must belong to one of the many-body wave functions consisting of s photons. Equation.(3) says that its statistical weight is proportional to $1/s^3$. This suggest that the interference in the black-body radiation is a phenomenon caused by the wave functions consisting of only a few photons. In our picture of the black-body radiation, f_s must play an important role in the coherence. Probably, the correlation tensor is not the only quantity measuring the coherence of the black-body radiation. The conventional definition of the degree of the coherence must be interpreted in terms of the photon's many-body wave function, which is a subject of future paper.

This paper discusses the wave-particle duality in the case of the black-body radiation. But the former is not a concept limited to the thermal equilibrium, but an universal one. Hence, for other photon's distributions that are made by passing the black-body radiation through some filter, if we have an appropriate statistical factor instead of the Boltzmann factor, we can apply the combinatorial interpretation in terms of the photon's many-body wave function to such photon's distributions as well.

Furthermore, if we find a statistical factor appropriate for the non-equilibrium photon's distribution, a scope of this interpretation expands further. This statistical factor will open a new possibility for understanding the wave-particle duality. For this purpose, we must find a statistical factor corresponding to the apparatus which the photon interacts with. When the light is observed by one apparatus, f_s may have a weak s-dependence, so that the macroscopic many-body wave function, which consists of photons with the same momentum and polarization, appears as frequently as the microscopic one, thus leading to the wave picture of the light. On the contrary, when observed by another apparatus, f_s may be a rapidly decreasing function of s, so that the light seems to consist of only microscopic wave function, thus leading to the particle picture of the light [9]. Only when we are able to describe the behavior of light in an unified scheme, instead of beginning with two completely different pictures, we know the true meaning of the wave-particle duality. This is an open problem.

Acknowledgment

The author thanks Y.Koh for a critical comment.

References

[1] For example, L.Mandel and E.Wolf, *Optical coherence and quantum optics* (Cambridge,1995).

[2] R.P.Feynman, Phys.Rev.**90**,1116(1953); **91**,1291(1953).

[3] P.A.M.Dirac, *Principles of Quantum Mechanics* (Oxford,1958).

[4] For the matter wave, f'_s is derived from the particle picture using the path integral formalism as in Ref.2. For the photon, however, a similar derivation of f_s is questionable. This fact implies that, in spite of their formal resemblance in the momentum space, the photon in the coordinate space can not be treated in the same manner as the matter wave.

[5] Experimentally, the implication of the mass on the wave-particle duality is explored by comparing the interference pattern due to the heavier matter wave with that due to the lighter matter wave. For the importance of such an experiment and its present status, see, Y.Koh, 139 in *Wave-Particle Duality* (ed. F.Selleri, Plenum, New York, 1992).

[6] For example, S.Tomonaga, *Quantum Mechanics.1* (North Holland,1962).

[7] R.C.Boureet, Nuovo. Cimento **18**, 347(1960), Y.Kano and E.Wolf, Proc. Phys. Soc. London, **80**, 1273(1962), J.Sarfatt, Nuovo. Cimento **27**, 1119(1963), C.L.Mehta anf E.Wolf, Phys. Rev **134**, A1143 and A1149(1964).

[8] L.Mandel, J.Opt.Soc.Am **69**, 1038(1979).

[9] Ref.8 proposed the photon number in the coherence volume as a criterion for judging whether the light behaves like a wave or a particle. Physically, this criterion agrees with ours (s-dependence of f_s), but its exact relationship is worth exploring.